



Mathematical
Institute

Functions on path space and applications

IMANOL PEREZ

*Mathematical Institute
University of Oxford*

Seminar

Oxford
Mathematics



- ▶ Let $V \cong \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 1$.
- ▶ I will talk about a rough path perspective to real-valued functions on paths:

$$C([0, T]; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

2

- ▶ Financial derivatives are essentially functions
 $F : C([0, T]; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ A classical problem is to find the *price* of the financial derivative F , which is given by

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[F(X)]$$

for some probability measure \mathbb{Q} .

Definition (Extended tensor algebra)

The extended tensor algebra over V , denoted by $T((V))$, is defined by

$$T((V)) := \{a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots) : a_k \in V^{\otimes k} \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is an algebra with the sum $+$ and the product \otimes .

Definition (Truncated tensor algebra)

Let $n \geq 1$. The truncated tensor algebra of order n over V is the subalgebra

$$T^n(V) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^n V^{\otimes k} \hookrightarrow T((V)).$$

Definition (Signature of a path)

Let $X : [0, T] \rightarrow V$ be a continuous path such that the integrations below make sense. We define the *signature* of X by

$$\mathbb{X}_{s,t}^{<\infty} := (1, \mathbb{X}_{s,t}^1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_{s,t}^k, \dots) \in T((V)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T,$$

where

$$\mathbb{X}_{s,t}^k := \int_{s < u_1 < \dots < u_k < t} dX_{u_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dX_{u_k} \in V^{\otimes k}.$$

The *truncated signature* of order n is defined by

$$\mathbb{X}_{s,t}^{\leq n} := (1, \mathbb{X}_{s,t}^1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_{s,t}^n) \in T^n(V).$$

- ▶ If X has bounded variation, the integrals can be understood in the sense of Riemann–Stieltjes.
- ▶ If X is a semimartingale, we can define the integrals in the sense of Itô or Stratonovich.

Example

For paths of bounded variation,

- ▶ $X_{0,T}^1$ is just the increment of the path, $X_T - X_0 \in V$.

Example

For paths of bounded variation,

- ▶ $\mathbb{X}_{0,T}^1$ is just the increment of the path, $X_T - X_0 \in V$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{X}_{0,T}^2 \in V^{\otimes 2}$ is the Lévy area of X .

Example

For paths of bounded variation,

- ▶ $\mathbb{X}_{0,T}^1$ is just the increment of the path, $X_T - X_0 \in V$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{X}_{0,T}^2 \in V^{\otimes 2}$ is the Lévy area of X .
- ▶ Higher order terms of the signature capture other features of the trajectory of X .

Definition (Geometric p -rough paths)

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Denote by $G\Omega_p([0, T]; V)$ the closure (on a certain metric space) of the truncated signatures of order $\lfloor p \rfloor$ of paths with bounded variation.

Definition (Geometric p -rough paths)

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Denote by $G\Omega_p([0, T]; V)$ the closure (on a certain metric space) of the truncated signatures of order $\lfloor p \rfloor$ of paths with bounded variation.

Denote by $\widetilde{G\Omega}_p([0, T]; V) \subset G\Omega_p([0, T]; \mathbb{R} \times V)$ the closure of the truncated signatures of order $\lfloor p \rfloor$ of the paired paths (t, X_t) , with $X : [0, T] \rightarrow V$ a continuous path of bounded variation.

- ▶ The signature of a semimartingale in the sense of Stratonovich is a geometric rough path.
- ▶ The signature defined in the sense of Itô, however, is not a geometric rough path.

- ▶ The full signature on $[0, T]$ of a geometric rough path fully characterises the path up to *tree-like equivalences* (Boedihardjo et al., 2016).

- ▶ The full signature on $[0, T]$ of a geometric rough path fully characterises the path up to *tree-like equivalences* (Boedihardjo et al., 2016).
- ▶ If we consider the pair process (t, X_t) instead of X_t , then its signature is unique.

- ▶ The full signature on $[0, T]$ of a geometric rough path fully characterises the path up to *tree-like equivalences* (Boedihardjo et al., 2016).
- ▶ If we consider the pair process (t, X_t) instead of X_t , then its signature is unique.
- ▶ Linear functions on signatures form an algebra (Lyons et al., 2004).

- ▶ The full signature on $[0, T]$ of a geometric rough path fully characterises the path up to *tree-like equivalences* (Boedihardjo et al., 2016).
- ▶ If we consider the pair process (t, X_t) instead of X_t , then its signature is unique.
- ▶ Linear functions on signatures form an algebra (Lyons et al., 2004).
- ▶ Hence, by Stone–Weierstrass, linear functions on signatures are dense on continuous functions ((Fawcett, 2002), (Levin et al., 2016)).

- ▶ We would like to study continuous functions $G : C([0, T]; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ using signatures.

- ▶ We would like to study continuous functions $G : C([0, T]; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ using signatures.
- ▶ G induces a continuous function $F : \widetilde{G\Omega}_p([0, T]; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- ▶ We would like to study continuous functions $G : C([0, T]; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ using signatures.
- ▶ G induces a continuous function $F : \widetilde{G\Omega}_p([0, T]; V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ Hence, we will consider continuous functions on $\widetilde{G\Omega}_p$.

Theorem (Density of linear functions on the signature)

Let $F : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, where $\mathcal{K} \subset \widetilde{G\Omega}_p([0, T]; V)$ is compact. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists $\ell \in T((\mathbb{R} \times V))^*$ such that

$$|F(\mathbb{X}) - \langle \ell, \mathbb{X}_{0,T}^{\leq \infty} \rangle| < \varepsilon \quad \forall \mathbb{X} \in \mathcal{K}.$$

- ▶ Signatures transform nonlinear relationships into linear ones.
- ▶ Hence, signatures convert the problem of dealing with complex and possibly unknown nonlinear functions on paths into a linear regression problem.
- ▶ This has been leveraged on applications in machine learning, finance, etc.

Thank you!